IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE,	)	
	)	NO. 16645 IN THE
vs.	)	CRIMINAL COURT OF
	)	SHELBY COUNTY,
JAMES EARL RAY.	)	TENNESSEE

ORDER DENYING PETITION OF CBS INC. FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE INSPECTION BY SCIENTIFIC EXAMINATION OF THE BALLISTIC EXHIBITS IN EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE

This cause came on to be heard on the 26th day of September, 1975, before the Honorable William H. Williams, Judge, Division III, Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, upon the Petition of CBS Inc. for an Order authorizing the inspection by scientific examination of the ballistic exhibits in evidence in this case, the exhibits to said Petition, being certified copy of minute order of August 14, 1975, in cause no. A-233421 of the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles, styled People of the State of California vs. Sirhan Bishara Sirhan, the affidavits of Newton P. Allen, the affidavit of Lowell W. Bradford and the Memorandum of Points and Authorities submitted by CBS Inc. in support of its Petition, all filed in this cause, the letter-response thereto of James Earl Ray dated September 23, 1975, response of James Earl Ray prepared by his attorneys and dated September 22, 1975, the response of Hugh W. Stanton, Jr., District Attorney General, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, State of Tennessee, all filed herein and the Order of September 18, 1975, of the aforesaid Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles in the aforesaid Sirhan case, all filed in this cause, and upon the oral statements and arguments of counsel in open court for petitioner, James Earl Ray and Hugh W. Stanton, Jr., District Attorney General, from all of which it duly appears to the Court that the Petition should be denied for the reasons set

out in the Court's oral opinion delivered from the bench on September 26, 1975, as set out and contained on pages 35 through 41 of a written transcript of proceedings on the hearing, duly filed in this Court on October 13, 1975, said opinion being made a part of this Order.

(Clerk here copy opinion commencing on page 35, line 8 and ending on page 41, line 12, of said transcript filed herein.)

As petitioner's recourse for review is by way of Petition for Certiorari there is no need for this Court to grant an appeal.

This Order is entered nunc pro tunc, as of September 26, 1975, the date of said hearing and rendering of the Court's judgment denying the Petition.

WILLIAM H. WILLIAMS, Judge
Division III Criminal Court
of Shelby County, Tennessee